

Public-speaking anxiety in students: The efficacy of an audience training intervention in a tutorial setting

Dr Preethi Premkumar Dr Eva Zysk Dr Fraenze Kibowski *Psychology, Social Sciences* Dr Lindsay Davies *Confetti* 



# STUDY 1: Predictors of public speaking anxiety Background

- Student presentations increasingly used in HE as assessments
- Public-speaking anxiety is prevalent in students (Furmark, 2002, Furukawa et al, 2014)
  - Negative psychological & physiological manifestations (Feldman et al, 2004)
  - Worry about being personally and academically evaluated (Richmond et al, 2013)
  - Many may have diagnosed Social Anxiety Disorder (lifetime prevalence: 13%) (Kessler et al, 2012)
- Difficult to assess; can be reflected in grades
- Hinders academic success
- Lowers student satisfaction (McCroskey & Sheahan, 1978)
- Increases the likelihood of withdrawal from university (Tarrine-Flarerty, 2014)

# Study 1 Predictors

#### <u>Cognitive-affective</u> model of psychological predictors of public-speaking anxiety (PSA):

#### - Attentional control

- ability to switch attention flexibly
- Self-focused attention
  - inspection of own appearance/behaviours in place of focus on surroundings and others

#### - Fear of negative evaluation

- Fear of being looked down upon

#### - Fear of positive evaluation

- fear of inaccurately being held in too-high a regard
- Emotional regulation
  - ability to influence the experience of own emotions
- Anxiety sensitivity
  - fear of and low tolerance to experiencing anxiety symptoms
- Predictors can be actively modified in students under the guidance of academic staff for decreased anxiety and enhanced performance

NTU

## Study 1 Methods

- Cross-sectional online study advertised mostly on social media
- Eligibility criteria: UK university students who have given a presentation to an audience of 4+ people in past 12 months
- Total sample = 268 students
  - Aged 18-53 (mean age: 21.98, SD: 5.24)
  - 89.2% female
  - 22.4% had diagnosed anxiety, 16.8 diagnosed depression

NTU

## Study 1 Methods ctn.

- Public Speaking Anxiety Scale (**PSAS**) [Outcome Variable]
  - 17 items rated 1-5; E.g. "Giving a speech is terrifying"
- Anxiety Sensitivity Index-Revised (ASIR)
  - 36 items rated 1-5; E.g. "It scares me when I blush in front of people"
- Cognitive Reappraisal facet from Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ-CRF)
  - 6 items rated 1-7, e.g. "I keep my emotions to myself"
- Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale (BFNE)
  - 8 items rated 0-4; e.g. "I am afraid others will not approve of me"
- Fear of Positive Evaluation Scale (FPES)
  - 10 items rates 0-9; e.g. "I feel uneasy when I receive praise from authority figures"
- Attentional Control Scale (ACS)
  - 20 items rated 1-4; E.g. "I can quickly switch from one task to another"
- Situational Self-Awareness Scale (SSAS)
  - 7 items rated 1-7; e.g. "Right now, I am concerned about the way I present myself"
- Control variables: age, gender, anxiety diagnosis, depression diagnosis

## Study 1 Results

- The path model was run in Mplus version 8
- According to Hu and Bentler's cut off criteria (1999) the path model fit (RMSEA < 0.0001, 90% CI 0.000 - 0.023, p RMSEA <= .05 = 0.991; CFI 1.00, TLI 1.052<sup>a</sup>; SRMR 0.008)

#### Direct paths

- $\uparrow$  Fear of negative evaluation (FNE) -->  $\uparrow$  public speaking anxiety (PSA)
- $\uparrow$  Fear of positive evaluation (FPE) -->  $\uparrow$  public speaking anxiety (PSA)
- $\uparrow$  Anxiety sensitivity (ASIR) -->  $\uparrow$  public speaking anxiety (PSA)
- FNE had the strongest impact (0.46 vs 0.12 and 0.18).

NTU

# Study 1: Path model of the predictors of public speaking anxiety



28 June 2018

NTU

## Study 1: Indirect paths

- ↑ Attentional control (ACS) -> ↑ cognitive reappraisal (CRF) ->
  ↓ public speaking anxiety (PSA)
- $\uparrow$  Fear of negative evaluation (FNE) ->  $\downarrow$  attentional control (ACS) ->  $\downarrow$  cognitive reappraisal (CRF) ->  $\uparrow$  public speaking anxiety (PSA)
- $\checkmark$  Sensitivity to anxiety (ASIR) ->  $\checkmark$  CRF ->  $\uparrow$  PSAS
- $\downarrow$  Private SSAS predicted ->  $\downarrow$  CRF ->  $\uparrow$  PSAS
- $\uparrow$  Public SSAS predicted ->  $\downarrow$  CRF ->  $\uparrow$  PSAS
- whereas public SSAS predicted a decrease in SSAS with relatively equal strength (0.19 vs -0.14).

NTU

#### Study 1 Discussion

- Fear of negative evaluation, fear of positive evaluation, anxiety sensitivity, and situational self-awareness predict public speaking anxiety
- The link between these emotional responses and PSA is mediated by cognitive processes, namely: less attentional control and less cognitive reappraisal
- Fear of negative evaluation is a key predictor

NTU

## Study 1 Discussion ctn.

- Intervention for public speaking anxiety could address these fears by helping speakers to divert their attention from threat and how they label threatening situations, and not avoid the threat.
- Focusing attention on others, rather than oneself (for e.g. by maintaining eye contact) can lower PSA
- The audience could be trained to appear less threatening and non-judgmental
  - Anxious speakers may feel less scrutinised if they believe the audience is attending to the content of their speech, rather than focusing on the speaker and his/her performance



## **STUDY 2:** Tutorial for Public Speaking Anxiety

- **Aim:** to test the effectiveness of an audience training intervention for public-speaking anxiety in the context of university tutorials
- 2 groups of 10 UG students plus tutor, mimicking a tutorial group
  - 1 intervention group (audience training)
  - 1 control group (grammar training)
- 2x 1-hr tutorial sessions 1 week apart
  - Session 1: Info on public speaking anxiety
  - Session 2: Individual Oral presentations
  - Follow up: Online questionnaire 2 weeks later

# Study 2: Tutorial

#### **Participants:**

- Eligibility: 1.5 SD or above on the Public Speaking Anxiety Scale AND on the Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale
- 20 ppts randomly assigned to audience-training intervention or control condition
- 9 ppts completed both sessions in the audience-training condition (mean age: 20 years, 8 females); 7 participants completed both sessions of the control intervention (mean age 18 years, all female)
- Paid £20 in vouchers as thank you plus SONA credits (psych)

NTU

# Study 2: Tutorial

#### **Measures:**

- Social Phobia Inventory (SPIN)
- Public Speaking Anxiety Scale (PSAS)
- Brief Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale (BFNE-S)
- Fear of Positive Evaluation Scale (FPES)
- Focus of Attention Scale (FAQ) self vs. external focus
- Activity Flow State Scale

e.g. "I did things spontaneously without having to think"

- Visual analogue scales (pre & post assessing ability to focus, audience attendance to content of speech, feeling judged, feeling anxious)
  - e.g. (2) How well did you feel the audience attended to what you were saying?

Not at all attentive



#### Session 1 Protocol



#### Session 2 Protocol



## Follow Up Protocol (2 weeks later)





NTU

#### Study 2: Results Public Speaking Anxiety

• Group-by-time interaction: F (2,28) = 3.87, p=0.033





#### Study 2: Results Social Anxiety

• Group-by-time interaction: F(1,14) = 3.98, p=0.066



Marginal change in social anxiety post-intervention

#### Study 2: Results Fear of Negative Evaluation

• Group-by-time interaction: F(2,28) = 0.06, p=0.938



Time

#### No change in fear of negative evaluation

## Study 2: Results Ratings on visual analogue scale

To what extent do you think you will be judged as a person by the audience when speaking?



# Study 2: Discussion

#### Audience training intervention:

- improves public-speaking anxiety
- marginally improves social anxiety
- might change the way participants perceive the audience; i.e., may feel audience is more attuned to the content of the speech rather than being focused on and scrutinising the speaker's performance
- does not change fear of negative evaluation
- Small sample sizes make it difficult to draw firm conclusion
- Differences on some scales between groups at baseline due to chance might exaggerate group effects
- Still, findings indicate that changing the academic social environment can reduce student public speaking anxiety

NTU

# Implications

- Reduced student anxiety about presentation
- Possible better focus on and thus increased performance in oral assessments
- Sensitivity of staff and students about PSA and being mindful of self as audience member

#### **Future Direction**

- Embed audience training activities into Psychology Year 1 tutorial sessions (one 1-hour session plus homework task) prior to any assessed presentations
- Disseminate findings at NTU for other departments on predictors of PSA
- Disseminate Intervention protocol for possible adoption of other departments
- Publish findings in 1-2 psychology/pedagogic academic journals